

#### Model Showcase: Next Generation Thai Flood model

Unveil what's inside the new model. A brief overview of Impact Forecasting's model development and solutions

Prepared by Santhosh Dronamraju and Himavant Mulugu of Impact Forecasting



Presentation for Catastrophe Insight 2018, TGIA, Bangkok



#### Agenda

- Section 1 Impact Forecasting An Overview
- Section 2 Impact Forecasting Thailand Flood Model
- Section 3 Use cases
- Section 4 Conclusions and Benefits



## **Impact Forecasting**









#### **Section 2: Impact Forecasting Thai Flood model**

- Anatomy of a catastrophe model
- Hazard Component
- Exposure Component
- Vulnerability Component
- Loss Component



#### **Motivation**

Q3/Q4 2011 - Unprecedented flooding hits Thailand

**Q3 2012** – First flood model for Thailand released by Impact Forecasting

Q4 2016 - Update of the Impact Forecasting flood model for Thailand initiated

**Q4 2017** – Technical model development completed, calibration initiated

Q2 2018 – New model released for production, including underwriting datasets





#### **Section 2: Impact Forecasting Thai Flood model**

- Anatomy of a catastrophe model
- Hazard Component
- Exposure Component
- Vulnerability Component
- Loss Component



#### Anatomy of a Catastrophe model





77



#### Section 2: Impact Forecasting Thai Flood model

- Anatomy of a catastrophe model
- Hazard Component
- Exposure Component
- Vulnerability Component
- Loss Component



# Hazard component- Digital Terrain Model (DTM)

- Basic dataset describing topography
  - 3D representation of Earth surface
  - Gridded set each cell holds local altitude
- DTM Parameters
  - Vertical resolution
    - Elevation step/accuracy
  - Horizontal resolution
    - Cell size dimension (proportional to dataset size)
  - Large datasets (100s of GB)
    - Right balance between level of details and processing requirements
  - Resolution should be proportional to accuracy!





# Model considerations - Digital Terrain Model (DTM)

The effect of digital terrain model's resolution

A REAL PROPERTY OF A REAL PROPER	Resolution [m]	No. Cells	Size	
Contraction of the local division of the loc	5 x 5	6,23.10 <sup>6</sup>	48,49 MB	
States and s	10 x 10	1,59.10 <sup>6</sup>	12,27 MB	
	15 x 15	0,71.10 <sup>6</sup>	5,39 MB	
	20 x 20	0,40.10 <sup>6</sup>	3,03 MB	
	25 x 25	0,26.10 <sup>6</sup>	1,94 MB	
	50 x 50	0,06.10 <sup>6</sup>	0,48 MB	
Contraction of the second s	100 x 100	0,016.10 <sup>6</sup>	0,12 MB	



# Model considerations - Digital Terrain Model (DTM)

The effect of digital terrain model's resolution



Resolution [m]	Comp. Time	Max Depth	4
5 x 5	665.4 min	4.2 m	
10 x 10	79.02 min	4.9 m	
15 x 15	26.30 min	4.5 m	
20 x 20	13.10 min	5.0 m	
25 x 25	3.99 min	6.0 m	
50 x 50	0.48 min	9.1m	
100 x 100	0.06 min	17.2 m	



## **Digital Terrain Model: Corrections**

- Majority of DTMs are not derived for inundation modelling, contains obstacles affecting simulation
- Their corrections is difficult and time consuming, must be done semi-manually
- A crucial need of consistency with real river network

Proprietary & Confidential





# Digital Terrain Model – IF Thailand flood model

- Combination of two dataset used:
  - 10m Digital terrain model for Greater Bangkok (ORD2281 AW3D)
  - 30m Digital elevation model for the rest of Thailand (JAXA)





#### Hazard Component – Flood defence data

- Usually most difficult dataset to acquire, many flood defence types exists
- Complex systems consisting of many individual FD types (retention, diversion and technical measures,...)
- Ongoing development as well as obsolescence, high uncertainty and failure susceptibility
- Given by law, nation-wide or local standards
- Local protection of individual risks (industrial estates), property evacuation ratio





## Hazard Component – Flood Defence Data

- In model implemented as:
  - Dike crest level implemented in hydrodynamic calculation
  - Road structure with considering of bridges and culverts
  - Standard of protection polygons with known locations
  - Assumptions based on land cover and population
- In total:
  - 2,000 km of identified dikes
  - 87 polygons with known SoP
- Retention measures (reservoirs, dry polders,...) applied in hydrological data processing
- JICA report provides key summary
- 4 field visits (2 x in 2012, 2x in 2017)





# Hazard component – modelled river network



- Extracted from corrected digital terrain model
- Many additional corrections to cover:
  - Irrigation channels
  - River bifurcation
  - Chao Praya River delta
  - Mekong River
- Compared and validated according to public sources (RID, SRTM)
- Split into individual computational segments for hydrodynamic modelling
  - 1,179 computational domains
  - 29,700+ km of modelled rivers (slightly subjective)
- For each domains a set of hazard maps was calculated
  - From 1 in 5 to 1 in 1,000 years return period of discharge
- Final event maps created by a composition of these segments



## Hazard component – Hydrological Data



- 200 gauge stations with time series of observed daily flow (discharge)
- Daily mean or maximum flows, monthly max flows
- Observation length at least 15 years (due to EV distribution fitting)
- Key sources:
  - Royal Irrigation Department
  - Mekong River Commission



- Design flow estimate (how much water flows)
- Event set generation (how often and where the water flows)



## Hazard component – Hydrological Data Processing

- Basic data cleaning, tests for homogeneity, break-points and trends
- Frequency analyses:
  - Fitting extreme value statistical distribution
  - Estimating parameter via *L*-moments.
  - Estimating design flows for specific frequencies (return periods) for 1 in 5 to 1 in 1,000 years
- Most suitable distribution is selected based on the goodness of fits and manual screening





#### Hazard component – event set



- Designing sufficient number of synthetic however realistic(!) events
- Vine copula (dependency) structure is designed and fitted into hydrological station network (see left)
- Best fitting copula is being selected for each pair of stations
- By combining, the dependency structure and best fitting copula, eventset of sufficient size is generated





## Hazard Component – hydrodynamic simulation



TUFLOW - 2D hydrodynamic software (BMT WBM Pty Ltd, Australia)

- Depth averaged shallow water equations with momentum and continuity equations for free flow  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} - c_f v + g \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial x} + g u \left(\frac{n^2}{H^{\frac{4}{3}}} + \frac{f_l}{2g\Delta x}\right) \sqrt{u^2 + v^2} - \mu \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2}\right) + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} = F_x$  $\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + c_f u + g \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial y} + g v \left(\frac{n^2}{H^{\frac{4}{3}}} + \frac{f_l}{2g\Delta y}\right) \sqrt{u^2 + v^2} - \mu \left(\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2}\right) + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} = F_y$  $\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (Hu)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial (Hv)}{\partial y} = 0$ 

- Available on CPU and GPU solvers;
  - Impact Forecasting GPU cluster used for majority
- Grid based software: mesh on the top of DTM
- Heavily optimized for large scale simulations
- Enhanced numerical stability



#### Hazard Component – event map generation

- Event maps are being constructed for individual events by:
- A segment based composition of interpolated flood hazard maps
  - The interpolation is done on segment/event return period and linear interpolation between nearest higher and lower pre-modelled return period
  - Results on individual segments are finally mosaicked via maximum depths
- Such approach allows construction of "unlimited" number of very accurate event footprints!







Proprietary & Confidential

#### Flood Simulation – an example







#### Section 2: Impact Forecasting Thai Flood model

- Anatomy of a catastrophe model
- Hazard Component
- Exposure Component
- Vulnerability Component
- Loss Component



#### Exposure component –exposure geo-levels

- Many geocoding input level data exists in Thailand good model must address all or vast majority!
- Units also allow results break-out any of these units, among many others levels
- For flood modelling please consider the most detailed level possible (ideally with exact coordinates!)





#### **Geocoding Precisions**

- Latitude & Longitude (grid with 30m resolution)
- Higher administrative units (Province, District, Sub District, Region etc)





# Rooftop geocoding







#### AAL – Rooftop vs street precisions





#### AAL – Rooftop vs street precisions





#### Exposure Component – Aggregated data

- Exposure distribution on larger units How to limit the positional uncertainty
- Possible exposure indicators:
  - Population (residential), Luminescence (Commercial), Land cover (Industrial)
  - Not needed for latitude & longitude simulation, Industrial estates



Area = 80.35 km<sup>2</sup>

Inundation = 13.50 km<sup>2</sup> (16.8% of total **Area**)

**Population** = 22,272 inh.

12,036 inh. inundated (54.0% of total Population)



#### Exposure Component – Modelling Industrial Estates

- Modelling spatially-large (mostly industrial) risks
- Single policy location may not likely be representative enough
- Equal exposure distribution within exactly pre-defined and digitized industrial estate polygon
- Policy is modelled on a real share of area affected by particular event



Empower Results<sup>®</sup>



#### Section 2: Impact Forecasting Thai Flood model

- Anatomy of a catastrophe model
- Hazard Component
- Exposure Component
- Vulnerability Component
- Loss Component



# **Vulnerability Component**

- Essential component to transfer hazard intensity into loss (loss-ratio)
  - Evaluate number of affected number of policies
    - Chance of loss
  - Evaluate for each affected policy relevant relative loss
    - Damage function
- As part of Aon Benfield, Impact Forecasting has access to large amount of flood claim information
- Unique combination of observed claim data and engineering approaches



## Vulnerability – How it Functions?

#### Damage calculation applied in 2-steps:

Step 1: Given the intensity of hazard what is the chance to have a loss?



Step 2: Given that the loss happens - how big is the loss?



- Why is it important?
  - To affect the right number of properties!

Proprietary & Confidential

## Vulnerability Components – Classes Available

List of supported vulnerability classes for all individual modifiers

Occupancy	Coverage	Construction Name	Basement	Building height
RESIDENTIAL	BUILDING	MASONRY	WITH	1
COMMERCIAL	CONTENT	CONCRETE	WITHOUT	2 – 3
INDUSTRIAL	BUSINESS INTERRUPTION	IMETAL	UNKNOWN	4 – 7
INDUSTRIAL MACHINERY		WOOD		8 – 17
INDUSTRIAL STOCK		UNKNOWN		17+
INDUSTRIAL FUNITURE				UNKNOWN
INDUSTRIAL ESTATE				
INDUSTRIAL ESTATE MACHINERY		Motor damage f	unctions o	ategories
INDUSTRIAL ESTATE STOCK		Cars	Large	
		Light Commercial	Mid-Size	
INDUSTRIAL ESTATE FOR INFORCE		Heavy	Pick-Up &	& Trucks and
AGRICULTURAL GENERAL		Commercial	SUV	
HOUSING BUILDINGS		Compact	Sub-Com	pact





#### Agenda

- Section 1 Impact Forecasting An Overview
- Section 2 Impact Forecasting Thailand Flood Model
- Section 3 Use cases
- **Section 4** Conclusions and Benefits



#### Tools for catastrophe management



#### 'Traditional'





#### Targets / uses for cat modelling





#### Targets / uses for cat modelling



#### Today



#### Insurance Strategies



**Reinsurance purchasing** 



Personal and commercial lines rate calculation



Underwriting strategies for new and existing perils **Product innovation** 



Catastrophe modelling intelligence to drive risk selection

\$

Expanding your portfolio through efficient accumulation control



#### IF Thailand flood model - use cases

The hazard and risk data derived from Impact Forecasting Thailand probabilistic flood model can be used for accurate underwriting and risk assessment





## Portfolio modelling



- Traditional use and primary purpose of probabilistic catastrophe models
- Provide aggregate view on the portfolio losses
- Assessment of solvency capital requirement or reinsurance capacity



#### **Modeled Flood Losses**



#### Insurance product design

- Models can be effectively used to determine effect of insurance conditions
- High spatial variation of the flood risk triggers high spatial variation of the insurance conditions' effect on losses
- Key for attractive & profitable product design
  - Full limit possible in low risk areas
  - Effective limits enable insurance within high risk zones

# Residential building house TSI 1,000,000 THB

Limit MYR (% TSI)	Deductible MYR (% TSI)	Loss cost MYR (pure premium)	Loss cost reduction
Full limit	No deductible	10,000	0%
Full limit	1,000 (0.1%)	9,950	0.5%
Full limit	2,000 (0.2%)	9,900	1%
Full limit	5,000 (0.5%)	9,700	3%
Full limit	10,000 (1%)	9,400	6%
500,000 (50%)	No deductible	9,900	1%
100,000 (10%)	No deductible	5,200	48%
10,000 (1%)	No deductible	600	94%



## Primary underwriting- Data Available





**Empower Results®** 

#### Underwriting and rate calculation

- Probabilistic models based on high resolution hazard maps can be effectively used for location-wise flood loss (pure premium) assessment
  - Differentiated by risk type property parameters
- Risk data for rating by admin unit, full resolution maps or zones





## Underwriting and rate calculation

Client A in Thailand – underwrite two residential building policies:

Policy X: 6,500,000THB; address: Khok Khram, Amphoe Bang Pla Ma, Chang Wat Suphan Buri, 7215 Policy Y: 3,825,000THB, address: That, Warin Chamrap District, Ubon Ratchathani, 34190 Policy Z: 14,000,000THB, residential bulding in BAN KHAI district

#### 1. Geocoding:

Policy X: located on coordinates: **15.134478**, **104.885723** Policy Y: located on coordinates: **14.412087**, **100.175926** Policy Z: still on BAN KHAI district

#### 2. Use of flood hazard data:

	RP 20	RP 50	RP 100	RP 250	RP 500	RP 1000
Policy X	20cm	65cm	79cm	96cm	106cm	117cm
Policy Y	0	0	0	275cm	303cm	328cm

#### 3a. Use of flood risk maps

Policy X: mapped pure premium for L&L => Relative PP = 0.04916, **absolute PP** =  $6,500,000 \times 0.04916 = 319,540$  THB Policy Y: mapped pure premium for L&L => Relative PP = 0.00019, **absolute PP** =  $3,825,000 \times 0.00019 = 726.75$  THB Policy Z: taking mean pure premium for BAN KHAI dstrt = 0.00217; **absolute PP** =  $14,000,000 \times 0.00217 = 30,380$  THB

#### 3b. Assessing technical rate (Company A loading = 100%)

Policy X: **Technical premium = pure premium + loading** (expenses + profit) = **639,080 THB** Policy Y: **Technical premium = pure premium + loading** (expenses + profit) = **1,453.5 THB** Policy Z: **Technical premium = pure premium + loading** (expenses + profit) = **60,760 THB** 





#### A note on simple Underwriting Tool

43 a						
Location Data					* Q	A Model Selection
Location ID		Latitude	V Longitude	V Postal Code	T	Poland Flood
H 1		52.245926942	21.019399166	00-312		Esk Data
Level	Street	Latitude	52.245926942 Longitude	21.019399166	Hide/Show Map Point	Bick Dataset D. V. Model Name V. Coverage V. Construction V. Roof Type V. Resement V. Year Built V. Stories V. Deck
Address						200007 IF Flood Plain Building Residential Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown
Street Addres	Dobra			Street Number		200015 IF Flood Plain and Off-Flood Plain Building Residential Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown
City, Postal Code	Warszawa			00-312		
Country	Polska				Geocode	
⊞ 2		52.249004403	21.030063629	03-715		
H 3		52.231374261	21.050480604	03-942		2) Model Selection
Click here to add ne	w item					
						Hazard Data 🗸 🗸
						Model Name V Hazard Scenario Description V
						IF Flood Plain RP 200 Impact Forecasting flood plain footprint modelled by 2D hydrodynamic model TUFLOW with defences partially included
						IF Off-Flood Plain RP 200 Impact Forecasting off-flood plain footprint based on vertical and horizontal buffers with defences partially included
Duplicate current Location		Geocode Imported Items	Clear All	Import Locations	Get Results	
		Show Imported Items on Map				
			Bródnowski	Legionowo		A B C D E F G H I
			5533W Targówe	Lomiank	Wołomin	1 Impact Forecasting Undewriting Tool Report
	Bronienskiego	11 ocat	ion 1)at	2 sont Kt	Zabki	2 point find
M	Poważkowska	LUCAL	IUII Date		Sublikumak	3 Poland Flood
	NAC Z	oliborz	634	Warszav	wa	
	troniewskiego;	NTELKES SEE IVE	Praga-Północ	Pruszkow	51.12	6 Location ID 1
		XTEALS MANAGER			Józefów	7 Latitude 52.24592694
Warszawa Pry		MOSP Obalism 3		5. wiecki	Otwock	a Longitude 21.0133991/
				1000		10 Address
	vsiaclecia		N A A		1. Sec. 19	11
Lasek Kole	TO Cmentarz Powazkowski		2		10000	12 Risk Data Results
		A CONTRACT NO	1 Jasko wski			13 IF FIGOR Plain 14 Ficol Risk AAL Rate AAL Rate Cover Occurancy Instruction Basement Roof Type Your E
				OIE (1)		16 very high risk 0.04% 0.05 duilling Case Charles unk
580	doczycłowski		Most Swietokrzyski		VID THE	17 15 IF Flood Plain and Off-Flood Plain
	131m - Carlos Learno			Praga-Południe		19 Flood Risk AAL Rate AAL Rate Coverage Occupancy Construction Basement Roof Type Year E
	Wola			1 2075	5523W	20 Level Lation Postal Code
A ALE AND	MULTER D	Warszawa			Carlos ansk	2 21 very high risk 0.04% 0.02% Building Residential unk unk
		- the state		5522W	and the	23 Hazard Results
				5521W	The states	24 IF Flood Plain
- The worker			Most Lazienkowski		2	25 Exposed To Hazard Hazard Scenario Hazard Intensity Hazard Variable
A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A				801	- All	26 No RP 200 NA NA
	the second		MRWIK	801		27   28  F Off-Flood Plain
1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	STATUS STATUS	01	2aklad Sieci Wodociggowe	and the second second		29 Seposed To Hazard Hazard Scenario Hazard Intensity Hazard Variable
52° 10 40.21° N 21°			Lazienki	Most Siek	kierkowski	To Vac B0 200 101 m - 250 m Distance to flood extent
	W Chota		WAY 20 KIN SIZE OF THINK SHOULD BE			
						**



## Accumulation control

#### **Client A in Thailand**

Accumulation study on **Province** level

Total insured value summary:

	TIV [THB]	% of total
Portfolio	373,630,796,310	100.00%
Geocoded	371,980,161,469	99.56%
Floodable	130,338,461,323	34.88%

#### Policies count summary:

	TIV [THB]	% of total
Portfolio	13,218	100.00%
Geocoded	13,185	99.75%
Floodable	4,728	35.86%







#### Accumulation control



- Existing approach: Insured values accumulation
- With IF flood model: Modelled loss accumulation
  - Simple risk map overlay and pivoting provides:

ID	Province Name	TIV_abs.	TIV_rel.	No.Polic_abs.	No.Polic_rel.	ModLoss_abs.	ModLoss_rel.	Loss Ratio	Effectivity
10	BANGKOK	140,935,335,638	37.72%	4264	32.26%	277,467,846	63.69%	0.197%	169%
11	SAMUT PRAKAN	22,867,412,526	6.12%	1021	7.72%	21,515,482	4.94%	0.094%	81%
20	CHON BURI	21,335,868,586	5.71%	584	4.42%	141,201	0.03%	0.001%	1%
12	NONTHABURI	19,047,591,979	5.10%	590	4.46%	15,353,415	3.52%	0.081%	69%
13	PATHUM THANI	15,940,335,520	4.27%	600	4.54%	38,665,338	8.88%	0.243%	208%
21	RAYONG	14,847,045,657	3.97%	341	2.58%	11,410,727	2.62%	0.077%	66%
83	PHUKET	13,096,307,803	3.51%	315	2.38%	121,295	0.03%	0.001%	1%
14	PHRA NAKHON SI AYUTTHAYA	10,918,523,618	2.92%	273	2.07%	6,326,074	1.45%	0.058%	50%
73	NAKHON PATHOM	9,678,937,902	2.59%	386	2.92%	10,589,194	2.43%	0.109%	94%
74	SAMUT SAKHON	9,670,707,931	2.59%	489	3.70%	6,635,550	1.52%	0.069%	59%
84	SURAT THANI	7,371,087,131	1.97%	347	2.63%	27,796,014	6.38%	0.377%	323%
25	PRACHIN BURI	6,298,933,246	1.69%	128	0.97%	27,976	0.01%	0.000%	0%
22	CHANTHABURI	493,737,472	0.13%	38	0.29%	2,295,497	0.53%	0.465%	399%
48		20,720,608	0.01%	16	0.12%	164,952	0.04%	0.796%	683%
91	SATUN	19,194,251	0.01%	15	0.11%	273,439	0.06%	1.425%	1222%
	TOTAL	373,630,796,310	100.00%	13218	100.00%	435,661,529	100.00%	0.117%	100%



#### A note on APIs

- Hazard/ Risk metrics on demand
- Seamless process run in the background once a month / quarter
  - Set of predefined reports: TIV, charged premium, technical premium, top drivers
  - Automated

#### Your Data Warehouse



#### 1 or 0 click automated model run in ELEMENTS the background



#### Standard report produced

and shared							
Accumulation zone	TIV	TIV as % of Total		<b>Technical Premium</b>	TeP as % of Total	Te	P vs TIV
Alberta	183,444,220,487	38%		3,872,363	11%		29%
British Columbia	45,685,528,481	10%		6,169,619	18%		188%
Manitoba	12,097,283,070	3%		2,393,552	7%		275%
New Brunswick	9,724,355,798	2%		4,728,356	14%		675%
Newfoundland and Labrador	5,682,801,327	1%		311,801	1%		76%
Nova Scotia	14,239,949,475	3%		686,132	2%		67%
Ontario	145,966,611,062	31%		11,172,888	33%		106%
Prince Edward Island	1,990,107,623	0%		12,756	0%		9%
Quebec	28,023,954,049	6%		4,199,351	12%		208%
Saskatchewan	29,728,182,513	6%		767,154	2%		36%
Total	476,582,993,885	100%		34,313,972	100%		100%





#### Agenda

- Section 1 Impact Forecasting An Overview
- Section 2 Impact Forecasting Thailand Flood Model
- Section 3 Use cases
- Section 4 Conclusions and Benefits



#### Conclusions and key benefits

		Q
True rating recommendation	Accumulation	Unified toolkit and data
Probabilistic models offer better insights when compared with just hazard maps	Using physically defined zones allow better utilization of underwriting limits	for primary underwriting portfolio monitoring and modelling provides a key to comprehensive and

Impact Forecasting probabilistic flood model enables more accurate underwriting and risk assessment





consistent workflow

# Impact Forecasting



#### Contacts

#### Santhosh Dronamraju

Impact Forecasting +9180 3091 8144 santhosh.dronamraju@aon.com

#### **Himavant Mulugu**

Impact Forecasting +65 6313 7105 himavant.mulugu@aonbenfield.com



#### Disclaimer

#### Legal Disclaimer

© Aon UK Limited trading as Aon Benfield (for itself and on behalf of each subsidiary company of Aon Plc) ("Aon Benfield") reserves all rights to the content of this report or document ("Report"). This Report is for distribution to Aon Benfield and the organisation to which it was originally delivered by Aon Benfield only (the "Recipient"). Copies may be made by that organisation for its own internal purposes but this Report may not be distributed in whole or in part to any third party without both (i) the prior written consent of Aon Benfield and (ii) the third party having first signed a "recipient of report" letter in a form acceptable to Aon Benfield. This Report is provided as a courtesy to the recipient and for general information and marketing purposes only. The Report should not be construed as giving opinions, assessment of risks or advice of any kind (including but not limited to actuarial, re/insurance, tax, regulatory or legal advice). The content of this Report is made available without warranty of any kind and without any other assurance whatsoever as to its completeness or accuracy.

Aon Benfield does not accept any liability to any Recipient or third party as a result of any reliance placed by such party on this Report. Any decision to rely on the contents of this Report is entirely the responsibility of the Recipient. The Recipient acknowledges that this Report does not replace the need for the Recipient to undertake its own assessment or seek independent and/or specialist risk assessment and/or other relevant advice.

The contents of this Report are based on publically available information and/or third party sources (the "Data") in respect of which Aon Benfield has no control and such information has not been verified by Aon Benfield. This Data may have been subjected to mathematical and/or empirical analysis and modelling in producing the Report. The Recipient acknowledges that any form of mathematical and/or empirical analysis and modelling (including that used in the preparation of this Report) may produce results which differ from actual events or losses.

#### Limitations of Catastrophe Models

This report includes information that is output from catastrophe models of Impact Forecasting, LLC (IF). The information from the models is provided by Aon Benfield Services, Inc. (Aon Benfield) under the terms of its license agreements with IF. The results in this report from IF are the products of the exposures modelled, the financial assumptions made concerning deductibles and limits, and the risk models that project the pounds of damage that may be caused by defined catastrophe perils. Aon Benfield recommends that the results from these models in this report not be relied upon in isolation when making decisions that may affect the underwriting appetite, rate adequacy or solvency of the company. The IF models are based on scientific data, mathematical and empirical models, and the experience of engineering, geological and meteorological experts. Calibration of the models using actual loss experience is based on very sparse data, and material inaccuracies in these models are possible. The loss probabilities generated by the models are not predictive of future hurricanes, other windstorms, or earthquakes or other natural catastrophes, but provide estimates of the magnitude of losses that may occur in the event of such natural catastrophes. Aon Benfield makes no warranty about the accuracy of the IF models and has made no attempt to independently verify them. Aon Benfield will not be liable for any special, indirect or consequential damages, including, without limitation, losses or damages arising from or related to any use of or decisions based upon data developed using the models of IF.

#### Additional Limitations of Impact Forecasting, LLC

The results listed in this report are based on engineering / scientific analysis and data, information provided by the client, and mathematical and empirical models. The accuracy of the results depends on the uncertainty associated with each of these areas. In particular, as with any model, actual losses may differ from the results of simulations. It is only possible to provide plausible results based on complete and accurate information provided by the client and other reputable data sources. Furthermore, this information may only be used for the business application specified by Impact Forecasting, LLC and for no other purpose. It may not be used to support development of or calibration of a product or service offering that competes with Impact Forecasting, LLC. The information in this report may not be used as a part of or as a source for any insurance rate filing documentation.

THIS INFORMATION IS PROVIDED "AS IS" AND IMPACT FORECASTING, LLC HAS NOT MADE AND DOES NOT MAKE ANY WARRANTY OF ANY KIND WHATSOEVER, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, WITH RESPECT TO THIS REPORT; AND ALL WARRANTIES INCLUDING WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE ARE HEREBY DISCLAIMED BY IMPACT FORECASTING, LLC. IMPACT FORECASTING, LLC WILL NOT BE LIABLE TO ANYONE WITH RESPECT TO ANY DAMAGES, LOSS OR CLAIM WHATSOEVER, NO MATTER HOW OCCASIONED, IN CONNECTION WITH THE PREPARATION OR USE OF THIS REPORT.

